

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, OMAHA DISTRICT BILLINGS REGULATORY OFFICE POST OFFICE BOX 2256 BILLINGS, MONTANA 59103-2256

March 18, 2010

Regulatory Branch Montana State Program Corps No. **NWO-2007-00980-MTB**

Subject: Spring Creek Coal LLC - Jurisdictional Determination

Eric Detmer Cloud Peak Energy – Spring Creek Coal LLC PO Box 67 Decker, Montana 59025-0067

Dear Mr. Detmer:

Reference is made to your letter requesting a jurisdictional determination (JD) of 10 wetlands and 65 ephemeral drainages located in Big Horn County, Montana. See the attached tables for specific waterway locations and jurisdictional status.

Under the authority of Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, Department of the Army (DA) permits are required for the discharge of fill material into waters of the U.S. Waters of the U.S. include the area below the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) of stream channels and lakes or ponds connected to the tributary system, and wetlands adjacent to these waters. Isolated waters and wetlands, as well as man-made channels and ditches, may be waters of the U.S., which must be determined on a case-by-case basis.

Based on the information you provided, it has been determined that none of the wetlands or waterways are jurisdictional waters of the U.S. since they are not connected to a water of the U.S. This is an Approved JD. The JD has been enclosed and may also be viewed at our website at https://www.nwo.usace.army.mil/html/od-rmt/mthome.htm. The JD will be available on the website within 30 days. If you are not in agreement with the JD, you may request an administrative appeal under Corps of Engineers (Corps) regulations found at 33 C.F.R. 331. The Request for Appeal must be received within 60 days from the date of this correspondence. If you would like more information on the jurisdictional appeal process, contact this office. It is not necessary to submit a Request for Appeal if you do not object to the JD.

Any activity that occurs in the areas determined not to be jurisdictional is not subject to DA regulatory authorities and no permit pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act is required from the Corps.

Although a DA permit is not required for this project, this does not eliminate the requirement that you obtain any other applicable Federal, State, Tribal or local permits as required.



We are interested in your thoughts and opinions concerning your experience with the Corps' Omaha District Regulatory Program. We have places an automated version of our Customer Service Survey form at http://per2.nwp.usace.army.mil/survey.html. At your request, we will mail a paper copy that you may complete and return to us by mail or fax.

Please contact Cathy Juhas at (406) 657-5910 if you have any questions and reference Corps File Number NWO-2007-00980-MTB.

Sincerely,

Todd N. Tillinger Montana Program Manager

Enclosure

Site #	Location	Jurisdictional Status
Site #1	Sec.15, T8S, R39E	Does not meet criteria for a wetland
Site #2	Sec.15, T8S, R39E	Not jurisdictional - stockpond constructed in dry land
NWI-1	Sec.13, T8S, R39E	Does not meet criteria for a wetland
NWI-2	Sec.21, T8S, R39E	Does not meet criteria for a wetland
NWI-3	Sec.25, T8S, R39E	Does not meet criteria for a wetland
NWI-4	Sec.30, T8S, R40E	Does not meet criteria for a wetland
NWI-5	Sec.6, T9S, R40E	Does not meet criteria for a wetland
NWI-6	Sec.36, T8S, R39E	Not jurisdictional - stockpond constructed in dry land
NWI-7	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	Not jurisdictional - stockpond constructed in dry land
Pond 3	Sec.30, T8S, R40E	Not jurisdictional - stockpond constructed in dry land

Table 1. Summary of Jurisdictional Status - Wetlands

Table 2. Summary of Jurisdictional Status - Waterways

Map #	Location	Waterway Name	Jurisdictional Status
6-1	Sec.6, T9S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
6-2	Sec.6, T9S, R40E	Pearson Creek	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
6-3	Sec.6, T9S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
6-4	Sec.6, T9S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
13-1	Sec.13, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
13-2	Sec.13, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
13-3	Sec.13, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
14-1	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
14-2	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	N. Fork Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
14-3	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
14-4	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
14-5	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
14-6	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
14-7	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
15-1	Sec.15, T8S, R39E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
15-2	Sec.15, T8S, R39E	No Defined Channel	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
15-3	Sec.15, T8S, R39E	No Defined Channel	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
15-4	Sec.15, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
15-5	Sec.15, T8S, R39E	N. Fork Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
19-1a	Sec.19, T8S, R40E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
19-1b	Sec.19, T8S, R40E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
19-1c	Sec.19, T8S, R40E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
19-2	Sec.19, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
19-3	Sec.19, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
19-4	Sec.19, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
19-5	Sec.19, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
21-1	Sec.21, T8S, R39E	S. Fork Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
21-2	Sec.21, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
22-1	Sec.22, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
22-2	Sec.22, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
22-3	Sec.22, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
22-4	Sec.22, T8S, R39E	S. Fork Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
23-1	Sec.23, T8S, R39E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM

23-2	Sec.23, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
23-3	Sec.23, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
23-4	Sec.23, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
24-1	Sec.24. T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
24-2	Sec.24. T8S, R39E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
24-3	Sec.24. T8S, R39E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
24-4	Sec.24. T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
25-1	Sec.25, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
25-2	Sec.25, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
25-3	Sec.25, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
26-1	Sec.26, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
26-2	Sec.26, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
26-3	Sec.26, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
26-4	Sec.26, T8S, R39E	S. Fork Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
27-1	Sec.27, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
27-2	Sec.27, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
27-3	Sec.27, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
29-1	Sec.29, T8S, R40E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
29-2	Sec.29, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
29-3	Sec.29, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
30-1	Sec.30, T8S, R40E	S. Fork Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
30-2	Sec.30, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
30-3	Sec.30, T8S, R40E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
31-1	Sec.31, T8S, R40E	S. Fork Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
31-2	Sec.31, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
31-3	Sec.31, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
31-4	Sec.31, T8S, R40E	Pearson Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
35-1	Sec.35, T8S, R39E	Pearson Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
35-2	Sec.35, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
36-1	Sec.36, T8S, R39E	Pearson Creek	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
36-2	Sec.36, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM
36-3	Sec.36, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional - discontinuous or no OHWM



APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 18 MAR 2010

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Omaha District CENWO-OD-RMT (Billings), Spring Creek Coal LLC Wetland Delineation, NWO-2007-00980-MTB

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: MT County/parish/borough: Big Horn County City: Decker Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 45.11815 N; Long. -108.76674 W Universal Transverse Mercator: 13

Name of nearest waterbody:Tongue River

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows:none Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):10090101

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 03/18/2010
- Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

- a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
 - Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands:
- c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Not Applicable. Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Sites meeting wetland criteria are associated with non-jurisdictional stock ponds. None of the waterways have a continuous OHWM, wetland vegetation, or any connection to a Water of the U.S.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: Drainage area: Average annual rainfall: Average annual snowfall:

- (ii) Physical Characteristics:
 - (a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 Tributary flows through tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW.
Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW.
Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Tributary stream order, if known:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Image: Characteristic (check all that apply): Artificial (man-made). Explain: Image: Characteristic (check all that apply): Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: Average depth: Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Concrete Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Muck
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope):
(c)	<u>Flow:</u> Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: Pick List . Explain findings:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): the presence of litter and debris clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving the presence of wrack line vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away scour sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining abrupt change in plant community other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain:.

Identify specific pollutants, if known:.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
- Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

- (a) General Wetland Characteristics:
 - Properties:
 - Wetland size: acres
 - Wetland type. Explain:

Wetland quality. Explain:

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

(b) <u>General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW</u>: Flow is: **Pick List**. Explain:

Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: **Pick List**. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:

- (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
 - Directly abutting
 - Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:

- Ecological connection. Explain:
- Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW

Project wetlands are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: **Pick List**. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **Pick List** floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
 - Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:

Habitat for:

Federally Listed species. Explain findings:

Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:

Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:

Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **Pick List** Approximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly a	abuts?	(Y/N)	
------------	--------	-------	--

Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
 TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.
 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
- 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
 - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters:

Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters:

Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

- Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
 - Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
- Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

⁸See Footnote # 3.

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE,
	DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT ADDI V), ¹⁰
	which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other nurnoses
	from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
	which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
	Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
	Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
	Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
	Other non-wetland waters: acres.
	Identify type(s) of waters: .
	Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
	If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers
	Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
	Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
	Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
	Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
	Other: (explain, if not covered above): Stock ponds and associated wetlands constructed in upland areas are not jurisdictional.
Wa	terways with no continuous OHWM, no wetland vegetation, & no connection to a Water of the U.S. are not jurisdictional.
	and the second
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR
	factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for imgated agriculture), using best professional
	judgment (cneck all that apply):
	Non-wettand waters (i.e., rivers, streams): acres
	Lakes/poinds. acres.

Other non-wetland waters: List type of aquatic resource:

Wetlands: acres.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

width (ft). linear feet, Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):

Lakes/ponds: acres.

acres. List type of aquatic resource: Other non-wetland waters: Wetlands: acres.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A.	SUPF	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
	and	requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	\bowtie	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:
	\bowtie	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
		Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
		Corps navigable waters' study:
	\bowtie	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
		USGS NHD data.
		☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	\bowtie	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:1:50,000 Pearl School.
		USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
		National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
		FEMA/FIRM maps:
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	\bowtie	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):
	_	or 🔀 Other (Name & Date):2009.
		Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
		Applicable/supporting case law:
		Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
		Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: This project site contains 6 areas that were shown on NWI maps as wetlands, but failed to meet wetland criteria during field surveys (Sites #1, NWI-1, NWI-2, NWI-3, NWI-4, & NWI-5). Four wetlands are on site that are associated with stock ponds that were constructed on non-jurisdictional waterways and are therefore not jurisdictional (Sites #2, NWI-6, NWI-7, & Pond 3). All 65 waterways on site are not jurisdictional because they lack a continuous OHWM, wetland vegetation, and have no connection to a Water of the U.S. See Tables 1 and 2 for more information.

	Tuble II Spring Creek filme werking			
Site #	Location	Jurisdictional Status		
Site #1	Sec.15, T8S, R39E	Does not meet criteria for a wetland		
Site #2	Sec.15, T8S, R39E	Not jurisdictional - stockpond constructed in dry land		
NWI-1	Sec.13, T8S, R39E	Does not meet criteria for a wetland		
NWI-2	Sec.21, T8S, R39E	Does not meet criteria for a wetland		
NWI-3	Sec.25, T8S, R39E	Does not meet criteria for a wetland		
NWI-4	Sec.30, T8S, R40E	Does not meet criteria for a wetland		
NWI-5	Sec.6, T9S, R40E	Does not meet criteria for a wetland		
NWI-6	Sec.36, T8S, R39E	Not jurisdictional - stockpond constructed in dry land		
NWI-7	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	Not jurisdictional - stockpond constructed in dry land		
Pond 3	Sec.30, T8S, R40E	Not jurisdictional - stockpond constructed in dry land		

Table 1: Spring Creek Mine wetlands

Table 2: Spring Creek Mine waterways

Map #	Location	Waterway Name	Jurisdictional Status
6-1	Sec.6, T9S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
6-2	Sec.6, T9S, R40E	Pearson Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
6-3	Sec.6, T9S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
6-4	Sec.6, T9S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
13-1	Sec.13, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
13-2	Sec.13, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
13-3	Sec.13, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
14-1	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
14-2	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	N. Fork Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
14-3	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
14-4	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
14-5	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
14-6	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
14-7	Sec.14, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
15-1	Sec.15, T8S, R39E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
15-2	Sec.15, T8S, R39E	No Defined Channel	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
15-3	Sec.15, T8S, R39E	No Defined Channel	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
15-4	Sec.15, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
15-5	Sec.15, T8S, R39E	N. Fork Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
19-1a	Sec.19, T8S, R40E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
19-1b	Sec.19, T8S, R40E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
19-1c	Sec.19, T8S, R40E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
19-2	Sec.19, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
19-3	Sec.19, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
19-4	Sec.19, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
19-5	Sec.19, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
21-1	Sec.21, T8S, R39E	S. Fork Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
21-2	Sec.21, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
22-1	Sec.22, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
22-2	Sec.22, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
22-3	Sec.22, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
22-4	Sec.22, T8S, R39E	S. Fork Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
23-1	Sec.23, T8S, R39E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
23-2	Sec.23, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
23-3	Sec.23, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
23-4	Sec.23, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
24-1	Sec.24. T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
24-2	Sec 24 T8S R39E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM

24-3	Sec.24. T8S, R39E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
24-4	Sec.24. T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
25-1	Sec.25, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
25-2	Sec.25, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
25-3	Sec.25, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
26-1	Sec.26, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
26-2	Sec.26, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
26-3	Sec.26, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
26-4	Sec.26, T8S, R39E	S. Fork Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
27-1	Sec.27, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
27-2	Sec.27, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
27-3	Sec.27, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
29-1	Sec.29, T8S, R40E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
29-2	Sec.29, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
29-3	Sec.29, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
30-1	Sec.30, T8S, R40E	S. Fork Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
30-2	Sec.30, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
30-3	Sec.30, T8S, R40E	Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
31-1	Sec.31, T8S, R40E	S. Fork Spring Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
31-2	Sec.31, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
31-3	Sec.31, T8S, R40E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
31-4	Sec.31, T8S, R40E	Pearson Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
35-1	Sec.35, T8S, R39E	Pearson Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
35-2	Sec.35, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
36-1	Sec.36, T8S, R39E	Pearson Creek	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
36-2	Sec.36, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM
36-3	Sec.36, T8S, R39E	Unnamed	Non-jurisdictional – discontinuous or no OHWM



February 19, 2010

Shannon Johnson Project Manager Department of the Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District Billings Regulatory Office P.O. Box 2256 Billings, MT 59103

RE: Corps File No. NWO-2007-980-MTB, Spring Creek Coal LLC, Wetlands/Waters of the U.S. Inventory

Dear Ms. Johnson:

Pursuant to the Corps' 11 December 2008 letter regarding reauthorization under Nationwide Permit 21, Spring Creek Coal (SCC) is submitting the attached wetlands/waters of the U.S. delineation report. The report presents recommended determinations of wetlands and other waters of the U.S. within the current mine permit boundary and within the Pearson Creek permit amendment area. The Pearson Creek amendment area is an ongoing mine expansion permitting effort being pursued through the Montana Department of Environmental Quality.

SCC requests the Corps verify the inventory results and conclusions, and determine if reauthorization under Nationwide Permit 21 is necessary.

Please feel free to call me at (406) 757-4234 if you have any questions or need additional information.

With kind regards,

IC

Eric Detmer, PE Environmental Engineer

cc: CF 1.3.2 (SCC-2010)

Enclosure

WETLAND AND OTHER WATERS OF

THE U.S. INVENTORY

SPRING CREEK COAL LLC SPRING CREEK MINE 2009 WETLAND DELINEATION

Prepared By

Intermountain Resources P.O. Box Laramie, Wyoming 82073 307-745-3803

December, 2009

Revised February, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1.1
2.0	METHODS	2.1
3.0	RESULTS	3.1
4.0	SUMMARY	4.1
5.0	SOURCES	5.1
6.0	LIST OF TABLES	6.1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Wetlands and Other Ponded Waters of the U.S. Acres	
	within the 2009 and Prior Spring Creek Mine Study Areas	6.2
Table 2.	Non-jurisdictional Ephemeral Stream Channel Other	
	Waters of the U.S.	6.3

LIST OF ADDENDA

Appendix A	FIELD DATA SHEETS

Appendix B REPRESENTATIVE PHOTOGRAPHS

LIST OF MAPS

Map 1 2009 Wetland and Other Waters of the U. S.

Revised February, 2010

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Spring Creek Mine wetland delineation area is located in Big Horn County north of the town of Decker in southeastern Montana. Map 1 shows the location of the study area. As shown on that map the delineation area lies within Sections 13, 14, 15, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 35 and 36, T8S, R39E, Sections 18, 19, 20, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32 and 34, T8S, R40E, Sections 3, 6, 7, 10, 11 and 15, T9S, R40E and Section 12, T9S, R39E. This Spring Creek Mine delineation study area includes the Spring Creek Coal Mine permit area and Pearson Creek amendment area.

Wetland inventories were completed previously within this study area during 1993, 1994, 1995 and 1997. Those inventories were completed using the 1987 Corps Wetland Delineation Manual. Data from those previous studies were reviewed and have been included in this report where applicable. For example some of those sites have already been disturbed by mining activities so the prior data collected is the only information available for those sites. Other sites inventoried previously were mine facilities, primarily sediment control or stormwater collection ponds constructed by the mine, which are not jurisdictional and are not required to be inventoried. The 2009 survey was completed using the March 2008 Great Plains Region Interim Regional Supplement to the Corps 1987 Manual.

This report is a recommended determination of jurisdictional wetlands and other waters of the U. S. under authority of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The agencies retain the authority to amend or approve wetland delineations, and to make jurisdictional determinations on wetlands created by human activities. This delineation is subject to revision based on the Corps interpretation of the recent court cases including Cook County v. Corps (SWANCC) and Rapanos v. United States (Rapanos).

2.0 <u>METHODS</u>

The 2009 wetland delineation was completed using the March 2008 Great Plains Region Interim Regional Supplement to the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Other "Waters of the U. S." were determined according to definitions in 33 CFR 328.3. Potential wetlands were identified by examining topographic maps, National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) maps and the prior surveys completed in the area. All potential wetlands identified through those reviews were surveyed in the field. Additional field surveys were also conducted on the remainder of the lands in traverses across the study area. Field surveys were conducted in July and August of 2009 to determine seasonal characteristics of potential wetland features. Vegetation, hydrology, and soil characteristics of wetlands and uplands were recorded on field data sheets and locations of observations were plotted on topographic maps. Representative photographs were taken of the sites inventoried.

The dimensions of wetlands and other waters were determined by pacing or taped measurements in the field. Map measurements were only used for the lengths of ephemeral streams classified as other waters of the U. S. The features measured on this study area included ephemeral streams and stockponds. No perennial streams or streams that flowed for three consecutive months out of the year were present within the study area.

"Other waters of the U. S." in the 2009 study area are:

a. parts of ephemeral stream depressions and stockponds that are usually open water during the growing season, or barren when water disappears in dry seasons. The apparent size of these areas can fluctuate with precipitation patterns and was determined according to characteristics observed during this survey or prior surveys. b. ephemeral streams that only flow in response to rainfall or snow melt events.

Recommendations for non-jurisdictional wetlands and other waters on this study area were

made according to the following criteria:

- a. non-jurisdictional stockponds are those that are constructed in dry uplands and do not impound water flowing in jurisdictional ephemeral or intermittent streams.
- b. isolated wetlands or other waters are non-jurisdictional. These sites occur in uplands that are isolated from any connection with jurisdictional wetlands or jurisdictional other waters.
- c. non-jurisdictional wetlands and other waters of the U. S. have been further defined as drainages that flow continuously for less than three consecutive months out of the year or sites that do not have a significant nexus to traditionally navigable waters as defined by the Corps.

The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) soil survey and soil survey completed

for the mine permit area were used in this delineation where applicable.

3.0 <u>RESULTS</u>

This section will discuss the results of the surveys for jurisdictional wetlands and other waters of the U. S. completed during the 2009 and prior wetland delineations. The 2009 data collected was compared to data from prior surveys when possible. Data was retained from prior surveys for those inventory sites that have already been disturbed by mining activities and therefore new data could not be collected. Information on vegetation, hydrology, and soils characteristics observed in the kinds of wetlands encountered within the study area is provided on the individual field data sheets contained in Appendix A. Photographs of representative sites are included in Appendix B. All features inventoried are shown on Map 1 provided with this report. Observations were made in July and August of 2009 as well as during surveys completed in prior years and already submitted to regulatory agencies.

Table 1 provides a list of wetlands and ponded other waters of the U.S. sites inventoried on the Spring Creek Mine study area. Table 2 contains a list of ephemeral stream channel other waters of the U.S. features within the study area. The stream channels within the study area (Table 2) are all ephemeral and do not carry water continuously for at least three consecutive months out of the year. Therefore all stream channels within the study area have been classified as non-jurisdictional. The other potential wetland sites within the study area are all associated with these non-jurisdictional stream channels or are located on upland areas so they have also been classified as nonjurisdictional. Those sites are discussed in the following narratives and site locations are shown on Map 1.

<u>Site #1</u>:

This site is located along the Spring Creek channel in the NW¼ of Section 15, T8S, R39E and was sampled in 1993, 1997 and 2009. Wetlands were not identified at Site #1 during any of those surveys. The data sheet for this sample site is provided in Appendix A and a photograph is included in Appendix B. This sample site is representative of the entire Spring Creek channel (segments 14-3, 15-1, 19-1, 23-1, 24-2, 24-3, 29-1 and 30-3 on Table 2) and other major ephemeral stream channels within the study area. No wetlands were identified along this stream channel due primarily to the lack of sufficient water.

<u>Site #2</u>:

This site is a stockpond created by an earthen dam and is located in the SE¹/₄ of Section 15, T8S, R39E. Site #2 was designated on the 1991 NWI map as PFL5Ah (Palustrine, Flat, Vegetated Pioneer, Temporary, Diked/Impounded). This site was surveyed in 1993 and again in 2009. Wetland was identified on 0.3 acres at this site during the various surveys as shown in Table 1 and on the field data sheets. This stockpond was constructed on an upland within an undefined channel so is therefore classified as isolated and non-jurisdictional. The 2009 data sheet for this site is provided in Appendix A and a photograph is included in Appendix B.

<u>NWI-1</u>:

Site NWI-1 was identified on the USFWS 1991 NWI map as PEM1Ah (Palustrine, Emergent, Persistent, Temporary, Diked/Impounded) in the SE¹/₄ of Section 13, T8S, R39E and is located along the mine access road. No wetland characteristics were identified at this site as shown on the data sheet in Appendix A. Data sheets were not filled out for this site in previous years presumably because no wetland indicators were observed during those surveys either. A photograph

of the site is included in Appendix B. The data collected at this site is representative of ephemeral stream channel 13-3 and most of the other minor ephemeral stream channels in the study area.

<u>NWI-2</u>:

This site was identified on the 1991 NWI map as PEM5C (Palustrine, Emergent, Narrowleaved Persistent, Seasonal) in the SE¼ of Section 21, T8S, R39E along the South Fork Spring Creek. Wetlands were not found along the entire length of the South Fork Spring Creek channel within the study area during these surveys. The data sheet for this site is provided in Appendix A and is also representative of this entire stream channel (segments 21-1, 22-4, 26-4, 30-1 and 31-1 on Table 2) and other major ephemeral stream channels within the study area. A photograph of the site is included in Appendix B. A stock tank and water well that were in disrepair were observed adjacent to this site. Therefore it is assumed overflow water into the drainage from the well and tank probably created a small wetland at the site in the past. The site reverted back to upland when the well ceased operation and there was no water to continue maintaining the hydrology of the site.

<u>NWI-3</u>:

This site was identified on the USFWS 1991 NWI map as PEM5Ah (Palustrine, Emergent, Narrowleaved Persistent, Temporary, Diked/Impounded). This was an isolated stockpond created by an earthen dam in the NW¹/₄ of Section 25, T8S, R39E. Overflow apparently breached the dam so the pond no longer retains water. Wetland areas, if previously present, appear to have disappeared long ago based on the abundance of upland vegetation. A data sheet for site NWI-3 is included in Appendix A and a photograph is shown in Appendix B.

<u>NWI-4</u>:

Site NWI-4 is a stockpond that was constructed by an earthen dam on an upland site in the NE¹/₄ of Section 30, T8S, R40E. This area was identified on the USFWS 1991 NWI map as a potential wetland site PFL3Ch (Palustrine, Flat, Mud, Seasonal, Diked/Impounded). This stockpond does not collect enough water to create a wetland or even exhibit evidence of ponding. The data sheet for this site is included in Appendix A and a photograph of the area is presented in Appendix B.

<u>NWI-5</u>:

Site NWI-5 is an old stockpond originally created by an earthen dam in the NE¹/₄ of Section 6, T9S, R40E. Overflow appears to have breached the dam a long time ago since no evidence of wetland conditions were found. The USFWS 1991 NWI map had originally classified this area as PEM1Ah (Palustrine, Emergent, Persistent, Temporary, Diked/Impounded). The data sheet in Appendix A and photograph in Appendix B show that wetlands are no longer present at this site.

<u>NWI-6</u>:

This site is a stockpond created by an earthen dam and appears to pond water for extended periods of time. This site is located in the SE¹/4 of Section 36, T8S, R39E and was classified as PEM1Ah (Palustrine, Emergent, Persistent, Temporary, Diked/Impounded) on the USFWS 1991 NWI map. All three wetland criteria are present as shown on the data sheet in Appendix A. Approximately 1.31 acres of wetland were identified at this site during the 2009 inventory. These wetlands were classified as non-jurisdictional since they are found on a pond within a drainage that does not have continuous flows of water for the minimum three months out of a year. A photograph of this area is included in Appendix B.

<u>NWI-7</u>:

Site NWI-7 is a stockpond created by an earthen dam in the NE^{1/4} of Section 14, T8S, R39E. This site was shown as PEM1Ah (Palustrine, Emergent, Persistent, Temporary, Diked/Impounded) on the USFWS 1991 NWI map. Surveys in 2009 identified 0.15 acres of non-jurisdictional wetlands on this site as shown in Table 1 and documented on the data sheet for this area in Appendix A. A photograph of the site is included in Appendix B. The wetland characteristics are marginal but evidence was observed for all three of the required wetland criteria. The wetland was classified as non-jurisdictional since the site is on a pond within a drainage that does not have continuous flows of water for the minimum three months out of a year.

Pond 3:

This pond was located in the SW¹/₄ of Section 30, T8S, R40E and had been removed by mining activities prior to the 2009 surveys. Therefore the only information available on this site is from previous surveys. That previous data was collected in 1995 and concluded that this pond was a premine stockpond constructed on an upland so was therefore isolated and non-jurisdictional. This site had about 0.02 acres of wetland and 0.50 acres of open water in 1995 as shown in Table 1. The data sheet prepared in 1995 is included in Appendix A.

As of 2009 all other potential wetland sites identified on the USFWS 1991 NWI map within the study area had been removed by previous mining activities. These sites appear to have either been removed prior to initiation of wetland inventories on the site in 1993 or were not identified as wetlands during the prior surveys. Additionally many of the sites inventoried during prior surveys were ponds or depressions created specifically by mine activities for sediment or storm water control as required by state and federal agencies. These sediment or storm water control features are mine

4.0 <u>SUMMARY</u>

This report provides the wetlands and other waters of the U. S. identified for the 1993 through 2009 wetland delineation areas on the Spring Creek Mine site. The 2009 Spring Creek Mine survey area included the entire Spring Creek Mine permit area and Pearson Creek amendment area. Based on current criteria there do not appear to be any jurisdictional wetlands or jurisdictional other waters of the U.S. within the Spring Creek Mine wetland delineation area. That is because all streams are ephemeral and do not flow water continuously for the required three consecutive months out of the year. Wetlands were not encountered in any of the stream channels within the study area except for a few small areas where earthen dams constructed in the channel created stockponds that contain water long enough to develop wetlands. Therefore there does not appear to be any significant nexus to traditionally navigable waters.

Non-jurisdictional wetlands were measured at 1.78 acres as tabulated in Table 1 and were all recorded in stockponds constructed by earthen dams. These stockponds also provided 0.50 acres of ponded other waters of the U.S. that were either inundated at the time of surveys or were barren (lacking vegetation) from prior ponding. Table 2 shows the delineation area has 22.24 acres of non-jurisdictional ephemeral steam channel other waters of the U.S.

5.0 <u>SOURCES</u>

- Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineations Manual. 100 pp.
- Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers. 2008. Interim Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Great Plains Region. US Army Corps of Engineer Research and Development Center, 3909 Halls Ferry Road, Vicksburg, MS 39180-6199. 131 pp.
- Dorn, R.D. 1992. Vascular Plants of Wyoming, Second Edition. Mountain West Publishing. Cheyenne, Wyoming. 340 pp.
- USDA-NRCS. 2006. Field Indicators of Hydric Soils in the United States, Version 6.0. G.W. Hurt and L.M. Vasilas (eds.) USDA, NRCS, in cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils, Room 152, 100Centennial Mall North, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508-3866. 38pp.
- USDA-Soil Conservation Service. 1987 (Revised March 1990). Hydric Soils of the United States, In Cooperation with the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils.
- USDI-Fish and Wildlife Service. 1988. National List of Plant Species that Occur in Wetlands: North Plains (Region 4). 64 pp.
- USDI-Fish and Wildlife Service. 1991. National Wetlands Inventory Maps.

6.0 <u>TABLES</u>

Site	Jurisdictional Wetlands or Other Waters of the U.S.	Non-Jurisdictional Wetlands	Non-Jurisdictional Other Waters of the U.S. (Ponded)
Site #1	0.00	0.00	0.00
Site #2	0.00	0.30	0.00
NWI-1	0.00	0.00	0.00
NWI-2	0.00	0.00	0.00
NWI-3	0.00	0.00	0.00
NWI-4	0.00	0.00	0.00
NWI-5	0.00	0.00	0.00
NWI-6	0.00	1.31	0.00
NWI-7	0.00	0.15	0.00
Pond 3	0.00	0.02	0.50
Total Acres	0.00	1.78	0.50

Table 1.	Wetlands and Ponded Other Waters of the U.S. Acres within the 2009 and Prior
	Spring Creek Mine Study Areas.

Man				Longth	Width		
Number	Section	Townshin	Range	(Feet)	(Feet)	Acres	Tributary
6.1	6	os	/0E	5720	5	0.66	Linnamed
6-2	6	95	40E	1150	5	0.00	Pearson Creek
6-3	6	95	40E	5900	5	0.15	Unnamed
6-4	6	20	40E	4700	3 4	0.00	Unnamed
13_1	13	85	39F	2600	12	0.72	Unnamed
13-1	13	28	39E	2000	6	0.72	Unnamed
13-3	13	28	39E	3400	4	0.33	Unnamed
13-3	13	85	39E	500	4	0.05	Unnamed
14-2	14	85	39E	3700	6	0.51	North Fork Spring Creek
14-3	14	85	39E	8000	6	1 10	Spring Creek
14-4	14	85	39E	2900	4	0.27	Unnamed
14-5	14	85	39E	1950	10	0.45	Unnamed
14-6	14	85	39E	3800	4	0.35	Unnamed
14-7	14	85	39E	2200	10	0.55	Unnamed
15-1	15	85	39E	7700	6	1.06	Spring Creek
15-2	15	85	39E	-	0	0.00	No Defined Channel
15-3	15	85	39E	_	Ő	0.00	No Defined Channel
15-4	15	8S	39E	900	4	0.08	Unnamed
15-5	15	8S	39E	2000	4	0.00	North Fork Spring Creek
19-1a	19	8S	40E	2300	6	0.32	Spring Creek
19-1b	19	8S	40E	2900	6	0.40	Spring Creek
19-1c	19	85	40E	600	6	0.08	Spring Creek
19-2	19	85	40E	1700	4	0.16	Unnamed
19-3	19	8S	40E	700	4	0.06	Unnamed
19-4	19	8S	40E	1550	4	0.14	Unnamed
19-5	19	8S	40E	700	4	0.06	Unnamed
21-1	21	8S	39E	1700	6	0.23	South Fork Spring Creek
21-2	21	8S	39E	2200	7	0.35	Unnamed
22-1	22	8S	39E	3350	5	0.38	Unnamed
22-2	22	8S	39E	1200	4	0.11	Unnamed
22-3	22	8S	39E	2400	4	0.22	Unnamed
22-4	22	8S	39E	1800	5	0.21	South Fork Spring Creek
23-1	23	8S	39E	5500	5	0.63	Spring Creek
23-2	23	8S	39E	3100	4	0.28	Unnamed
23-3	23	8S	39E	2150	4	0.20	Unnamed
23-4	23	8S	39E	1200	12	0.33	Unnamed
24-1	24	8S	39E	2700	6	0.37	Unnamed
24-2	24	8S	39E	1300	6	0.18	Spring Creek
24-3	24	8S	39E	500	6	0.07	Spring Creek
24-4	24	8S	39E	1700	6	0.23	Unnamed
25-1	25	8S	39E	12200	6	1.68	Unnamed
25-2	25	8S	39E	3900	4	0.36	Unnamed
25-3	25	8S	39E	1600	4	0.15	Unnamed
26-1	26	8S	39E	1500	4	0.14	Unnamed
26-2	26	8S	39E	900	4	0.08	Unnamed
26-3	26	8S	39E	2200	4	0.20	Unnamed

Table 2.Non-jurisdictional Ephemeral Stream Channel Other Waters of the U.S.

Revised February, 2010

Table 2.	(0	Continued).					
Map Number	Section	Township	Range	Length (Feet)	Width (Feet)	Acres	Tributary
26-4	26	8S	39E	2400	6	0.33	South Fork Spring Creek
27-1	27	8S	39E	2500	4	0.23	Unnamed
27-2	27	8S	39E	2200	4	0.20	Unnamed
27-3	27	8S	39E	3100	4	0.28	Unnamed
29-1	29	8S	40E	2200	9	0.45	Spring Creek
29-2	29	8S	40E	1100	5	0.13	Unnamed
29-3	29	8S	40E	2050	4	0.19	Unnamed
30-1	30	8S	40E	6000	6	0.83	South Fork Spring Creek
30-2	30	8S	40E	1500	4	0.14	Unnamed
30-3	30	8S	40E	5000	8	0.92	Spring Creek
31-1	31	8S	40E	4900	5	0.56	South Fork Spring Creek
31-2	31	8S	40E	4210	4	0.39	Unnamed
31-3	31	8S	40E	1150	4	0.11	Unnamed
31-4	31	8S	40E	4750	5	0.52	Pearson Creek
35-1	35	8S	39E	2970	5	0.34	Pearson Creek
35-2	35	8S	39E	1850	4	0.17	Unnamed
36-1	36	8S	39E	6300	5	0.72	Pearson Creek
36-2	36	8S	39E	2285	4	0.21	Unnamed
36-3	36	8S	39E	700	4	0.06	Unnamed
Total A	Acres					22.24	

Table includes waters of the U.S. outside of areas disturbed by mining activities prior to initiation of surveys in 1993.

APPENDIX A

Spring Creek Coal LLC Spring Creek Mine

Data Sheets

Project/Site: Spring Creek Mine	City/County: <u>Big Horn</u>	Sampling Date: 7/17/09					
Applicant/Owner: <u>Cloud Peak Energy</u>	State: Montana	Sampling Point: <u>Site #1/15-1 (Resurvey)</u>					
Investigator(s): <u>Jim Orpet – Intermountain Resources</u>	Section, Township, Range	e: <u>SENW¼ Sec. 15, T8S, R39E</u>					
Landform (hillslope, etc.): Ephemeral Stream Channel_Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 2							
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR G – Western Great Plains</u>	Lat: <u>4999650</u> Long: _	0347520 Datum: NAD 27					
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>NA - Inclusion</u>		NWI classification: <u>NA - Upland</u>					
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for	or this time of year? Yes X	No (If no, explain in Remarks.)					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology signific	antly disturbed? Are "Normal	Circumstances" present? Yes X No					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturall	y problematic? <u>No</u> (If needed	l, explain any answers in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.							
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?Yes NHydric Soil Present?Yes NWetland Hydrology Present?Yes N	Io_XIs the Sampled AreaIo_Xwithin a Wetland?	a Yes <u> No X </u>					

Remarks: Ephemeral stream channel that only flows water for short durations during intense precipitation events. Prior surveys completed at this site in 1993 and again in 1997 also did not classify this site as a wetland. Flows water less than 3 consecutive months out of the year. Representative of all of Spring Creek and South Fork Spring Creek.

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:) 1.	Absolute % Cover	Dominant <u>Species?</u>	Indicator <u>Status</u> 	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC (excluding FAC-): 0 (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 m²</u>) 1. <u>Artemisia cana</u>	5	_ rotar Co	FACU	Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A/B)
2 3 4				Total % Cover of: Multiply by: OBL species x 1 =
$\frac{\text{Herb Stratum}}{1} (\text{Plot size: } m^2)$	5	= Total Co	ver	FACW species $x 2 =$ FAC species $x 3 =$ FACU species $x 4 =$
Agropyron cristatum Bromus japonicus Poa pratensis	$\frac{40}{5}$	<u>yes</u> <u>no</u> no	FACU FACU	UPL species $x 5 =$ Column Totals: (A) Prevalence Index = B/A = (B)
4. Agropyron intermedium 5	<u> </u>	<u>no</u>		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: Dominance Test is >50% Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a supporting bata)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:) 1 2.	53	= Total Co	ver	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>15</u>	% Cover o	= Total Co f Biotic Cru	verst	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes NoX
Remarks:				

SOIL					Samp	oling Point:	Site # 1and 15	5-1 (Resurveyed)
Profile D	escription: (Describe to	o the deptl	needed to docu	ment the i	ndicator	or confirm	the absence of	f indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features				
(inches)	Color (moist)	<u>%</u>	Color (moist)		Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0 - 2	<u>10YR 4/3</u>						L	
2 - 18	10YR 3/3						CL	<u> </u>
						·		Coarse Fragments
¹ Type: C=C	Concentration, D=Depletio	n, RM=Red	uced Matrix, CS=C	Covered or C	oated Sand	d Grains. ² L	ocation: PL=Por	e Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric So	oil Indicators: (Applica	able to all	LRRs, unless oth	nerwise not	ted.)	Indicator	s for Problema	tic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histos	ol (A1)		Sandy Gle	yed Matrix	(S4)	1 cm M	Muck (A9) (LR	R I, Ĵ)
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		Sandy Rec	lox (S5)		Coast I	Prairie Redox (A	6) (LRR F, G, H)
Black	Histic (A3)		Stripped N	fatrix (S6)		Dark S	Surface (S7) (L	RR G)
Hydro	gen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mu	ucky Miner	al (F1)	High p	olains Depressio	ons (F16)
Stratif	ied Layers (A5) (LRR I	F)	Loamy Gl	eyed Matrix	x (F2)	(LRR	H outside of I	MLRA 72 & 73)
-1 cm	$\operatorname{Auck}(A9)(\mathbf{LRR}\mathbf{F},\mathbf{G},$	H)	Depleted N	Matrix (F3)		Reduc	ed Vertic (F18)	
Deplet	ted Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Redox Dat	rk Surface (F6)	Red Pa	arent Material (TF2)
Inick	Dark Surface (A12) Muelas Minerel (S1)		Depieted I	Jark Suriac	28 (F /)	³ Indicator	(Explain in Kei	narks)
Salidy	Mucky Milleral (S1)	2)	Keuox Dej High Plair	pressions (r	20) 206	wetland h	s of flyatophyti vdrology must l	e present unless
-2.5 cm (LRR)	G H)	2)	(MLRA 72	2 & 73 of I	(RRH)	disturbed	or problematic	be present, unless
5 cm M	Aucky Peat or Peat (S3)	(LRR F)			AXIX 11)	distaioed	or problematic.	
Restrictiv	e Laver (if present):	(11111)						
Type:	e Lujer (il present).					Hydric So	oil Present?	Yes No X
Depth (inc	ches):					J		
Remarks:	Does not flow water lo	ng enough	to develop hydrid	c soil condi	tions.			
	OCV							
Wetland	UG1 Uudnology Indicators							
Primary In	dicators (minimum of c	ne require	d: check all that a	nnly)		Sec	condary Indicat	ors (2 or more
<u>I I IIIiui y II</u>	<u>initiations (initiation of c</u>	Jile require	a, encer un that t			re	equired)	
Surface V	Water (A1)		Salt Crust (B11)			<u>-</u>	Surface Soil Crack	s (B6)
High Wa	ter Table (A2)		Aquatic Inverteb	rates (B13)			Sparsely Vegetated	Concave Surface (B8)
Saturatio	n (A3)		Hydrogen Sulfid	e Odor (C1)			Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water M	arks (B1)		Dry-season Wate	er Table (C2)			Oxidized Rhizosph	eres along Living
Sedimen	t Deposits (B2)		Oxidized Rhizos	pheres along I	Living Root	s (C3)	Roots (C3) (when	e tilled)
Drift Dej	posits (B3)		(where not till	ed)			Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Algal Ma	at or Crust (B4)		Presence of Redu	iced Iron (C4)			Saturation Visible	on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Iron depo	osits (B5)	(D7)	I hin Muck Surfa	ice (C/) Shallo	ow		Geomorphic Positi	on (D2)
Inundatio	on Visible on Aerial Imagery ((B/)	Other (Explain ii	n Kemarks)			FAC-Neutral Test	(D5)
water-st	anieu Leaves (B9)						Flost-fleave fluin	
Surface W	vator Present? V	7.05	No V	Denth G	nches).			
Water Tak	ale Present? V	/es	$\frac{1}{NO} \frac{\Lambda}{X}$	Depth (in	nches).	w	tland Hydrold	ov Present?
Saturation	Present? V	⁷ es	No X	Denth (i	nches).	***	Yes	No X
(includes	capillary fringe)		<u> </u>	Depui (ii			1 CO	110 <u>12</u>
Describe I	Recorded Data (stream	gauge, mor	itoring well. aeri	al photos. n	revious in	nspections).	if available:	
			J ,	1 · · · / ľ		1//		
Remarks:	Short duration seas	sonal flows	5.					

Project/Site: Spring Creek Mine	City/County: <u>Big Horn</u> Sampling Date: <u>7/17/09</u>					
Applicant/Owner: <u>Cloud Peak Energy</u>	State: <u>Montana</u> Sampling Point: <u>Site #2 (Resurvey)</u>					
Investigator(s): <u>Jim Orpet – Intermountain Resources</u>	Section, Township, Range: <u>SE¹/4 Sec. 15, T8S, R39E</u>					
Landform (hillslope, etc.): <u>Stockpond</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, none): <u>Concave</u> Slope (%): <u>0</u>					
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR G – Western Great Plains</u>	Lat: <u>4998676</u> Long: <u>0348037</u> Datum: <u>NAD 27</u>					
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>NA - Inclusion</u>	NWI classification: PFL5Ah					
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)						
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology signific	antly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturall	y problematic? <u>No</u> (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map sho	owing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes_X Hydric Soil Present? Yes_X Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes_X	No Is the Sampled Area No within a Wetland? Yes_XNo					
Remarks: Stockpond – Ponded/wetland area $80^{\circ}x 40^{\circ} = 0.08$ acres. Isolated, no defined drainage channel below dam. Surveys in 1993 identified 0.3 acres of wetland at this site.						

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	_	
1.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC	C	
2.				(excluding FAC-):	<u> </u>	
3				Total Number of Dominant		
4		·		Species Across All Strata	1 (B)	
		= Total Co	ver	Speeres Heross Hin Status	(2)	
Sanling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 m^2)	·	Total Co	ver	Percent of Dominant Species		
<u>Saping/Silido Stratuni</u> (1 lot size. <u>10 lii</u>)				That Are OBL, FACW,		
1				or FAC:	<u>100</u> (A/B)	
2	·			Prevalence Index workshee	et:	
3.				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	
4				OBL species	x 1 =	
5				FACW species	x 2 =	
	5	= Total Co	ver	FAC species	x 3 =	
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: m^2)				FACU species	x 4 =	
1. Hordeum jubatum	25	yes	FACW	UPL species	x 5 =	
2. <u>Cirsium arvense</u>	7	no	FACU	Column Totals:(A)	(B)	
3. Grindelia squarrosa	7	no	UPL	Prevalence Index = $B/A =$		
4. Agropyron trachycaulum	5	no	FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation In	dicators:	
5. Bromus japonicus	2	no	FACU	\underline{X} Dominance Test is >	50%	
6 Polygonum aviculare	2	no	FACU	Prevalence Index is <	≤3.0 ¹	
7				Morphological Adap	tations ¹ (Provide	
8				supporting data in F	Remarks or on a	
0	18	- Total Co	vor	separate sheet)		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plat size:	40		VCI	Problematic Hydroph	hytic Vegetation ¹	
				(Explain)		
1				Indicators of hydric soil and we	tland hydrology must	
2	<u> </u>		·	II. II. Inconhectio	looicillatic.	
	. <u> </u>	= Total Co	ver	No solution		
					X 7 N 1	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 25	% Cover o	f Biotic Cru	st	Present? Ye	es <u>A</u> NO	
Remarks: Evidence observed that cattails had been present on the site previously.						

SOIL						Sampli	ng Point: <u>Si</u>	te # 2 (Resurveyed)	
Profile De	escription: (Describe t	to the dept	th needed to docu	nent the ind	licator	or confirm	the absence	e of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redoz	x Features					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	<u>Type¹</u>	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
0 - 20 +	10YR 5/4	75	7.5 YR 4/6	common	C	PL	L	No Profile,	
								Sediment Deposits	
						<u> </u>			
		·							
		·							
¹ Type: C=C	Concentration, D=Depleti	on, RM=Re	duced Matrix, CS=C	overed or Coa	ted Sand	Grains. ² L	ocation: PL=F	Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric So	il Indicators: (Applic	able to all	LRRs, unless oth	erwise noteo	d.)	Indicator	s for Proble	matic Hydric Soils ³ :	
Histos	ol (A1)		<u> </u>	ed Matrix (S	54)	1 cm l	Muck (A9) (I	L RR I, J)	
Histic	Epipedon (A2)		Sandy Red	ox (S5)		Coast 1	Prairie Redox	(A16) (LRR F, G, H)	
Black	Histic (A3)		<u> </u>	atrix (S6)		Dark S	Surface (S7)	(LRR G)	
Hydrog	gen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mu	cky Mineral	(F1)	High p	plains Depres	ssions (F16)	
Stratifi	ed Layers (A5) (LRR	F)	Loamy Gle	yed Matrix ((F2)	(LRR	R H outside o	of MLRA 72 & 73)	
1 cm N	Iuck (A9) (LRR F, G ,	H)	Depleted M		Reduced Vertic (F18)				
Deplet	ed Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Redox Dar	6)	Red P	arent Materia	al (TF2)		
Thick	Dark Surface (A12)		Depleted D	ark Surface	(F7)	Other	(Explain in I	Remarks)	
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)		<u>X</u> Redox Dep	ressions (F8))	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and			
2.5 cm	Mucky Peat or Peat (S	52)	High Plains	s Depression	S	wetland h	ydrology mu	st be present, unless	
(LRR	G, H)		(MLRA 72	& 73 of LR	RH)	disturbed	or problemat	tic.	
5 cm N	Aucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR F)							
Restrictiv	e Layer (if present):								
Туре:						Hydric Se	oil Present?	Yes X No	
Depth (inc	hes):								
Remarks:	Mottles								
HYDROL	OGY								
Wetland I	Hydrology Indicators	:							
Primary In	dicators (minimum of	one requir	ed; check all that a	pply)		Se	condary Indi	cators (2 or more	
						r	equired)		
X Surface	Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)				X	Surface Soil Ci	racks (B6)	
High Water Table (A2)Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)					X	Sparsely Veget	ated Concave Surface (B8)		
X Saturation (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)						Drainage Patter	ns (B10)		
Water Ma	arks (B1)		Dry-season Water	Table (C2)	le (C2)Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living				
Sediment	Deposits (B2)		Oxidized Rhizosp	heres along Liv	ing Roots	s (C3)	Roots (C3) (w	here tilled)	
X Drift De	posits (B3)		(where not tille	d)			Crayfish Burrow	ws (C8)	
Algal Ma	t or Crust (B4)		Presence of Redu	ced Iron (C4)			Saturation Visil	ble on Aerial Imagery (C9)	

____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)

Iron deposits (B5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?

(includes capillary fringe)

Water Table Present?

Saturation Present?

Water-Stained Leaves (B9)

Depth (inches):

Depth (inches):

Depth (inches):

24

____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow

____ Other (Explain in Remarks)

No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u>

No

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Yes _____

Yes _____ Yes X

No _____

Geomorphic Position (D2)

Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) (LRR F)

Wetland Hydrology Present?

Yes X

FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Project/Site: Spring Creek Mine	City/County: Big Horn	Sampling Date: 7/17/09
Applicant/Owner: <u>Cloud Peak Energy</u>	State: Montana	Sampling Point: <u>NWI-1/13-3</u>
Investigator(s): <u>Jim Orpet – Intermountain Resources</u>	Section, Township, Range:	SESE ¹ /4 Sec. 13, T8S, R39E
Landform (hillslope, etc.): Ephemeral Stream Channel	Local relief (concave, convex, no	one): <u>Concave</u> Slope (%): <u>2</u>
Subregion (LRR): LRR G – Western Great Plains	Lat: Long:	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>NA - Inclusion</u>		NWI classification: <u>PEM1Ah</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for	or this time of year? Yes X	No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology signific	antly disturbed? Are "Normal C	Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturall	y problematic? <u>No</u> (If needed,	explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map sho	owing sampling point location	ns, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes N Hydric Soil Present? Yes N Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No Remarks: Ephemeral Drainage, no evidence of wetland	$x_{0} \times x_{0}$ Is the Sampled Area $x_{0} \times x_{0}$ within a Wetland? $x_{0} \times x_{0}$ observed, no ponding and chan	Yes No X nel does not flow water very often.

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	
	<u>/0 00001</u>	opecies:	otatas	That Are OBL, FACW, or FA	С
1				(excluding FAC-):	<u> </u>
2					
3				Total Number of Dominant	
4				Species Across All Strata:	<u>4</u> (B)
		= Total Co	ver	-	
Sanling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 m^2)				Percent of Dominant Species	
<u>Supring/Sindo Stratani</u> (1101 Size. <u>10 m</u>)	20	Vac	EACU	That Are OBL, FACW,	
		res	FACU	or FAC:	<u>0</u> (A/B)
2				Prevalence Index workshee	:t:
3				Total % Cover of	Multiply by
4.				OBL species	$\frac{1}{x} = 1$
5.				EACW species	x 1 x 2 -
	30	= Total Con	ver	FAC w species	x 2 =
Hark Stratum (Dlat size: m^2)		1000100	V CI	FAC species	x 3 =
<u>Held Stratum</u> (Plot size. <u>m</u>)	1.5		E A CI I	FACU species	x 4 =
1. Bromus japonicas	15	yes	FACU	UPL species	x 5 =
2. Bromus tectorum	10	yes	UPL	Column Totals:(A)	(B)
3. Thlaspi arvense	10	yes	FACU	Prevalence Index = $B/A =$	
4. Poa pratensis	5	no	FACU	Hydrophytic Vegetation In	dicators:
5 Agropyron smithij	5	<u>no</u>	FACII	Dominance Test is >5	50%
6 Artomicio Indoniciono		<u> </u>	FACU	Prevalence Index is	$\leq 3.0^{1}$
		110	FACU	Morphological Adap	tations ¹ (Provide
7. Agropyron trachycaulum	5	no	FACU	supporting data in F	Remarks or on a
8				separate sheet)	contains of on a
	55	= Total Co	ver	Problematic Hydroph	nytic Vegetation ¹
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				(Explain)	iyile vegetation
1				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and we	tland hydrology must
2				be present unless disturbed or pr	roblematic
2				Hydronbytic	looremute.
		= 1 otal Co	ver	No set at a set	
				vegetation	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>15</u>	% Cover o	f Biotic Cru	st	Present? Ye	es <u>No X</u>
Remarks.				1	

SOIL							Sampling 1	Point: <u>NWI-1/13-3</u>	
Profile De	scription: (Describe t	o the dept	h needed to docum	ent the ind	icator o	r confirm	the absence	e of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redox	Features					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	<u>Type¹</u>	Loc^2	Texture	Remarks	
0-16	10YR 4/4	65		_			SL		
16 - 20 +								Coarse Fragments	
						·			
						·			
					10.11	$a \frac{1}{1}$			
Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Depletion	on, RM=Rec	luced Matrix, CS=Cov	vered or Coat	ed Sand (Grains. ² L	ocation: PL=P	ore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric So	II Indicators: (Applic	able to all	LKKs, unless other	rwise noted	L)]		s for Problem	matic Hydric Solls [*] :	
Histoso	DI(A1)		Sandy Gleye	a Matrix (S	-4)	I cm M	MUCK (A9) (I	$\mathbf{LKK}[\mathbf{I},\mathbf{J}]$	
Histic Epipedon (A2) Sandy Redox			x(33)	-	$\underline{\qquad} \text{Coast Plaine Redox (A10) (LKK F, G, H)}$				
Black	ristic (AS)		Suipped Ma	uix (50) ku Minorol	(E1) -	Ligh plaing Depressions (E16)			
Stratifi	ed Lavers (A5) (I PP)	E)	Loamy Glaved Matrix (F2)			(I PR H outside of MI RA 72 & 73)			
$\frac{1}{1}$ cm M	$\operatorname{fuck}(A9)(\mathbf{IRR} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{G})$	Г) Н)	Depleted Matrix (F2)			(LKK II outside of MILKA 72 & 75) Reduced Vertic (F18)			
I eni iv	ed Below Dark Surface	$(\Delta 11)$	Redox Dark Surface (F6)			Red Parent Material (TF2)			
Thick	Dark Surface (A12)	(111)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Depressions (F8)			$\frac{3}{1}$ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and			
2.5 cm	Mucky Peat or Peat (S	(2)	High Plains Depressions			wetland hydrology must be present unless			
(LRR G. H)			(MLRA 72 &	& 73 of LR	, RH) (disturbed	or problemat	ic.	
5 cm N	fucky Peat or Peat (S3)) (LRR F)	()		F		
Restrictiv	e Laver (if present):)(22222)							
Type:	e Euger (in present)				1	Hydric So	oil Present?	Yes No X	
Depth (inc	hes):				-				
Remarks:	,								

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicato	ors:					
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one require	ed; check all that a	apply)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more		
				required)		
Surface Water (A1)		Salt Crust (B11)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
High Water Table (A2)		Aquatic Inverteb	orates (B13)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		
Saturation (A3)		Hydrogen Sulfid	e Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
Water Marks (B1)		Dry-season Wate	er Table (C2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living		
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Oxidized Rhizos	pheres along Living Roots (C3)	Roots (C3) (where tilled)		
Drift Deposits (B3) (where not tilled)				Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	gal Mat or Crust (B4) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)					
Iron deposits (B5)	Iron deposits (B5)Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow					
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imag	Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks)					
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)				Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) (LRR F)		
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present?		
Saturation Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	Yes No X		
(includes capillary fringe)						
Describe Recorded Data (strea	m gauge, mo	nitoring well, aeri	al photos, previous inspecti	ons), if available:		
			•			
Remarks: Short duration se	easonal flows					

Project/Site: Spring Creek Mine	_ City/County: Big Horn	Sampling Date: <u>7/17/09</u>				
Applicant/Owner: <u>Cloud Peak Energy</u>	State: Montana	_ Sampling Point: <u>NWI-2/21-1</u>				
Investigator(s): Jim Orpet – Intermountain Resources	Section, Township, Range:	SE ¹ /4 Sec. 21, T8S, R39E				
Landform (hillslope, etc.): Ephemeral Stream Channel	Local relief (concave, convex, non	e): <u>Concave</u> Slope (%): <u>2</u>				
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR G – Western Great Plains</u>	Lat: <u>4997320</u> Long: <u>034</u>	6096 Datum: <u>NAD 27</u>				
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>NA - Inclusion</u>	N	WI classification: <u>PEM5C</u>				
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical f	or this time of year? Yes X N	Io (If no, explain in Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology signific	cantly disturbed? Are "Normal Cir	cumstances" present? Yes X No				
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology natural	ly problematic? <u>No</u> (If needed, ex	plain any answers in Remarks.)				
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.						
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? YesN Hydric Soil Present? YesN Wetland Hydrology Present? YesN	Jo_X Is the Sampled Area Jo_X within a Wetland?	Yes No_X				

Remarks: Appears that in the past water was added to this drainage artificially from overflow from adjacent stock tank filled from well. Appears well and stock tank have not been operational for a long time so only a few soil indicators remain. Site is an ephemeral stream channel that only occasionally carries water.

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	
1		<u> </u>	<u></u>	That Are OBL, FACW, or FA	С
2				(excluding FAC-):	$\underline{0}$ (A)
2					
J			·	Total Number of Dominant	5 (D)
4			·	Species Across All Strata:	<u> </u>
2		= Total Co	ver	Persont of Dominant Spacing	
<u>Sapling/Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>10 m²</u>)				That Are OBL EACW	
1. Prunus virginiana	5	yes	FACU ⁻	or FAC:	0 (A/B)
2. Symphoricarpos albus	5	yes	FACU	Provalance Index worksha	(11/D)
3. Artemisia cana	5	ves	FACU	Tatal % Cover of:	Multiply by
4				<u>DDL</u> maging	<u>wuuppy by.</u>
5					x 1
5	15	- Total Co	vor	FAC w species	x 2 =
Hark Stratum (Dlat size: m^2)			vei	FAC species	$x_{3} = $
<u>Hero Suatum</u> (Prot size. <u>m</u>)	20		FACU	FACU species	x 4 =
1. Poa pratensis	30	yes	FACU	UPL species	x 5 =
2. <u>Agropyron smithii</u>	25	yes	FACU	Column Totals: (A)	(B)
3. Bromus japonicus	10	no	FACU	Prevalence Index = $B/A =$	
4				Hydrophytic Vegetation In	dicators:
5.				Dominance Test is >:	50%
6.				Prevalence Index is :	≤3.0 ¹
7				Morphological Adap	tations ¹ (Provide
0				supporting data in I	Remarks or on a
0	(5	- Tatal Ca		separate sheet)	
	05	= 10tal Co	ver	Problematic Hydrop	hytic Vegetation ¹
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				(Explain)	
1				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and we	tland hydrology must
2				be present, unless disturbed or p	roblematic.
		= Total Co	ver	Hydrophytic	
				Vegetation	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 10	% Cover o	f Biotic Cru	st	Present? Y	es <u>No X</u>
<u></u>					
Remarks: .				1	

SOIL							Sampling P	oint: <u>NWI-2/21-1</u>	
Profile De	scription: (Describe t	o the dept	h needed to docur	nent the ind	icator o	or confirm	the absence	of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redox	k Features					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
0 - 3	10YR 4/3	70				<u> </u>	L		
3-16	10YR 3/3	65	7.5YR 5/8	common	C	PL	SL		
<u>16-24</u> ⁺	10YR 4/3	75	7.5YR 5/8	common	С	PL	SL		
				<u> </u>					
						_			
¹ Type: C=C	Concentration, D=Depletion	on, RM=Red	luced Matrix, CS=Co	overed or Coat	ed Sand	Grains. ² L	ocation: PL=Pc	ore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric So	il Indicators: (Applic	able to all	LRRs, unless othe	erwise noted	.)	Indicator	s for Problen	natic Hydric Soils':	
Histose	ol (Al)		Sandy Gley	ved Matrix (S	4)	1 cm 1	Muck $(A9)$ (L	RR I, J)	
Histic Epipedon (A2)Sandy Redox (S5)					Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)				
Black	Histic (A3)		Stripped M	atrix (S6)		Dark Surface (S7) (LRR G)			
Hydrog	gen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mu	cky Mineral	(F1)	<u>High plains Depressions (F16)</u>			
Stratifi	ed Layers (A5) (LRR	F)	Loamy Gle	yed Matrix (I	F2)	(LRR H outside of MLRA 72 & 73)			
1 cm N	Iuck (A9) (LRR F, G ,	H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)			Reduced Vertic (F18)			
Deplet	ed Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)			<u>Red Parent Material (TF2)</u>			
Thick I	Dark Surface (A12)		Depleted D	ark Surface ((F7)	Other	(Explain in R	emarks)	
<u> </u>	Mucky Mineral (S1)		X Redox Dep	ressions (F8)		³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and			
2.5 cm	Mucky Peat or Peat (S	2)	High Plains	5 Depressions	5	wetland hydrology must be present, unless			
(LRR)	G , H)		(MLRA 72	& 73 of LRI	RH)	disturbed	or problemati	c.	
5 cm N	Iucky Peat or Peat (S3)) (LRR F)							
Restrictiv	e Layer (if present):								
Type:						Hydric Se	oil Present?	Yes X No	
Depth (inc	hes):		-						
Remarks:	Mottles								
ĺ									

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				
Primary Indicators (minimum of one requi	red; check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more		
		required)		
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Roots (C3) (where tilled)		
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Algal Mat or Crust (B4)Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			
Iron deposits (B5)	Geomorphic Position (D2)			
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)			
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) (LRR F)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present?		
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Yes No X		
(includes capillary fringe)				
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, me	onitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspecti	ions), if available:		
Short duration seasonal flows.				
Remarks: No saturation observed to 30 inc	ches. Ephemeral stream only flows occasiona	lly in response to precipitation events.		
	1 5			

Project/Site: Spring Creek Mine	City/County: Big Horn	Sampling Date: 7/17/09						
Applicant/Owner: <u>Cloud Peak Energy</u>	State: Montana	Sampling Point:NWI-3						
Investigator(s): <u>Jim Orpet – Intermountain Resources</u>	Section, Township, Range:	SWNW ¹ /4 Sec. 25, T8S, R39E						
Landform (hillslope, etc.): <u>Stockpond</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, no	ne): <u>Concave</u> Slope (%): <u>0</u>						
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR G – Western Great Plains</u>	_Lat: <u>4996040</u> Long: <u>03</u>	50123 Datum: <u>NAD 27</u>						
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>NA - Inclusion</u>		NWI classification: <u>PEM5Ah</u>						
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)								
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology signif	icantly disturbed? Are "Normal C	ircumstances" present? Yes X No						
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology natura	Illy problematic? <u>No</u> (If needed, e	explain any answers in Remarks.)						
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map sl	nowing sampling point location	s, transects, important features, etc.						
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes Hydric Soil Present? Yes Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes Remarks: Dam had been breached long ago due to tool	No X Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? No X omuch water. Site no longer pond	Yes No X swater and no wetland characteristics						
present.								

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Tree Stratum (Plot size:) % Cover Species? Status Number of Dominant Species 1.		Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
1.	Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover</u>	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	~
2.	1.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FA	C (1)
3.	2.				(excluding FAC-):	<u> </u>
4.	3				Total Number of Dominant	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 m²) 1.	4	. <u> </u>	. <u> </u>		Species Across All Strata	2 (B)
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 m²) Instruction of the product of the prod			= Total Co	ver		(3)
Submit of the Stratum (r for size: 10 m / r) 1.	Sanling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 m^2)		1000100	ver	Percent of Dominant Species	
1.	1				That Are OBL, FACW,	
2.	1 2				or FAC:	<u> </u>
3.	2		. <u> </u>		Prevalence Index workshee	et:
4.	3				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
5.	4				OBL species	x 1 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:m ²)	5				FACW species	x 2 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size:m²) 1. Agropyron smithii	2		= Total Co	ver	FAC species	x 3 =
1. Agropyron smithii 30 yes FACU 2. Poa Pratensis 30 yes FACU 3. Agropyron trachycaulum 5 no FACU 4. Bromus inermis 5 no UPL 5. 5 no UPL 6.	<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: m^2)				FACU species	x 4 =
2. Poa Pratensis 30 yes FACU Column Totals:(A) (B) 3. Agropyron trachycaulum 5 no FACU Prevalence Index = B/A =	1. Agropyron smithii	30	yes	FACU	UPL species	x 5 =
3. Agropyron trachycaulum 5 0 FACU Prevalence Index = B/A = 4. Bromus inermis 5 0 UPL Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: 5 0 0 Dominance Test is >50% 0 6 0 0 Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ 0 7 0 0 0 Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	2. <u>Poa Pratensis</u>	30	yes	FACU	Column Totals:(A)	(B)
4. Bromus inermis 5 no UPL Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: 5.	3. <u>Agropyron trachycaulum</u>	5	no	FACU	Prevalence Index = $B/A =$	
5.	4. Bromus inermis	5	no	UPL	Hydrophytic Vegetation In	dicators:
6.	5.				Dominance Test is >:	50%
7.	6.				Prevalence Index is	≤3.0 ¹
8.	7.				Morphological Adap	tations' (Provide
Moody Vine Stratum (Plot size:) 70 = Total Cover Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) 1. Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ 2. Hydrophytic vegetation ¹ % Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 5 % Cover of Biotic Crust Hydrophytic Vegetation Remarks: .	8				supporting data in I	Remarks or on a
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:) Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation' 1 2 2 2	···	70	= Total Co	ver	separate sheet)	··· • · · · 1
(Explain) 1 Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic. 2 w Bare Ground in Herb Stratum W Cover of Biotic Crust Wegetation Present? YesNo _X	Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:		1000100	ver	Problematic Hydroph	hytic Vegetation
1.					(Explain)	tland hydrology must
2.	2				be present unless disturbed or p	roblematic
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 5 % Cover of Biotic Crust Present? YesNo _X Remarks: .	2		-Total Co	vor	Hydrophytic	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 5 % Cover of Biotic Crust Present? Yes No X Remarks: . .			= 10tai Co	vei	Vegetation	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum % Cover of Biotic Crust Itesent: Ites Remarks: .	0/ Dave Carrow Line Hards Structure 5	0/ С			Present? V	es No X
Remarks: .	% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>5</u>	% Cover (of Biotic Cru	ist		
Kemarks: .						
	Kemarks:					

SOIL							Sampli	ng Point: <u>NWI-3</u>		
Profile De	escription: (Describe to	the dep	th needed to docum	ent the in	dicator o	or confirn	n the absence of	of indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Redox	Features						
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks		
0-3	10YR 5/4	70					L			
3 - 20	10YR 5/4	65	7.5YR 5/8	Few	C	PL	L			
20 - 30	10YR 5/6	70	7.5YR 5/8	Few	C	PL	CL			
							<u> </u>			
			1 114 - 00 0	1 0	· <u>10 1</u>	$\overline{0}$ \cdot 2		T		
Type: C=C	Concentration, D=Depletion	1, RM=Re	duced Matrix, CS=Cov	vered or Coa	ited Sand	Grains. 1	Location: PL=Poi	re Lining, M=Matrix.		
Hydric So	Indicators: (Applica	ble to all	LKRS, unless other	rwise note	d.)	Indicato	rs for Problem	atic Hydric Solls"		
	OI(AI)		Sandy Gleye	ed Matrix (54)	I cm	Muck (A9) (LI	$(\mathbf{K} \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{J})$		
HISUC	Epipedon $(A2)$		Sandy Redo	X(55)		Coast	Prairie Redox (A	$(\mathbf{LKK}\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H})$		
Black I	$\operatorname{HISUC}(A3)$		Sumpped Ma	trix (80) Im Minanal	(E1)	Dark	Surface (S/) (I	$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{K}\mathbf{K}\mathbf{G}$		
Hydrog	gen Sullide (A4)	n.	Loamy Muc	ky Mineral	$(\Gamma 1)$	High	plains Depress	IONS (F10) MIDA 72 8-72)		
Suaun	$f(\mathbf{L} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L} $) []])	Loaniy Gley	eu Maurix	(Г2)	(LKI Dodu	A H Outside Of	$\frac{1}{12} \propto 15$		
I CIII IV Domlat	ad Dalaw Dark Surface	n) (A 1 1)	Depieted Ma	(Reduced Vettic (F18) Red Derent Meterial (TE2)					
Depiet	Dark Surface (A12)	(AII)	Redux Dalk	0) (E7)						
Inick Dark Surface (A12)			Depieted Da	Redox Depressions (F8)				$\frac{1}{3}$ Indicators of hydrophytic vocatation and		
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)			Kedox Depi	Demmanaian) ~	wetland hydrology must be present unless				
2.5 Cm						disturbed or problematic				
5 cm	(S3) (S3) (S3)			x / 5 01 LF	к п)	uistuibeu	of problematic	•		
<u> </u>	$a \mathbf{I}$ avor (if present):)							
Tune	e Layer (ii present):					Undria S	ail Procont?	Voc No V		
Type	has):					nyune s	on rresent:			
Depui (inc	A few mottles are prese	nt romn		hafara tha	dam waa	branchad				
Xemarks.	A lew mottles are prese	int, renni	ants of past ponding	before the	uani was	oreactieu				
VDROLO	OCV									
Wetland I	Hydrology Indicators									
Primary In	dicators (minimum of o	ne requir	ed: check all that an	nlv)		Se	condary Indica	tors (2 or more		
<u>i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i </u>		ne requi	eu, encen un that up	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		1	equired)			
Surface V	Water (A1)		Salt Crust (B11)			-	_Surface Soil Crac	eks (B6)		
High Water Table (A2)Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)				Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface						
Saturation (A3)Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)					_	Drainage Patterns	(B10)			
Water Ma	arks (B1)		Dry-season Water	Table (C2)			Oxidized Rhizosp	heres along Living		
Sediment	Deposits (B2)		Oxidized Rhizosph	eres along Li	ving Roots	(C3)	Roots (C3) (whe	re tilled)		
Drift Dep	posits (B3)		(where not tilled))			Crayfish Burrows	(C8)		
Algal Ma	t or Crust (B4)		Presence of Reduce	ed Iron (C4)			Saturation Visible	on Aerial Imagery (C9		
Iron depo	osits (B5)		Thin Muck Surface	(C7) Shallow	v	Geomorphic Position (D2)				
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) Other (Explain in Remarks)							FAC-Neutral Test	t (D5)		

FAC-INeutral Test (D5)	
Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) (LRR	F)

Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No <u>X</u>	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	Yes	No <u>X</u>	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present?
Saturation Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches):	Yes No _X
(includes capillary fringe)				

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks: No saturation observed to 30 inches.

Water-Stained Leaves (B9)

Project/Site: Spring Creek Mine	City/County: <u>Big Horn</u>	Sampling Date: <u>8/5/09</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Cloud Peak Energy</u>	State: Montana	Sampling Point: NWI-4
Investigator(s): <u>Jim Orpet – Intermountain Resources</u>	Section, Township, Range:	SWNE ¹ /4 Sec. 30, T8S, R40E
Landform (hillslope, etc.): Stockpond	Local relief (concave, convex, nor	ne): <u>Concave</u> Slope (%): <u>0</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR G – Western Great Plains</u>	Lat: <u>4996266</u> Long: <u>03</u>	52309 Datum: <u>NAD 27</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>NA - Inclusion</u>	11	NWI classification: <u>PFL3Ch</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical	for this time of year? Yes X	No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology signifi	cantly disturbed? Are "Normal C	ircumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology natura	lly problematic? <u>No</u> (If needed, e	xplain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map sl	nowing sampling point locations	s, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?YesHydric Soil Present?YesWetland Hydrology Present?YesRemarks: This stockpond rarely ponds water.	No_X No_XIs the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes NoX

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	<u>Status</u>	Number of Dominant Species
1			. <u></u>	That Are OBL, FACW, of FAC $(avaluding FAC)$:
2				(excluding FAC-). 0 (A)
3				Total Number of Dominant
4.				Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
		= Total Co	ver	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 m^2)				Percent of Dominant Species
1 (************************************				That Are OBL, FACW,
2				or FAC: 0 (A/B)
3				Prevalence Index worksheet:
3	·	·		<u>Total % Cover of:</u> <u>Multiply by:</u>
4				OBL species x 1 =
5		- Tatal Ca		FACW species $x 2 = $
$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{O} + $. <u> </u>	= 10tal Co	ver	FAC species $x 3 = $
Herb Stratum (Plot size: m ⁻)	10		E A OLI	FACU species $x 4 = $
1. <u>Poa Pratensis</u>	40	yes	FACU	UPL species $x 5 = $
2. Agropyron trachycaulum	10	no	FACU	Column Totals:(A)(B)
3. <u>Hordeum jubatum</u>	5	no	FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A =
4. Bromus tectorum	5	no	UPL	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
5			. <u></u>	Dominance Test is >50%
6				Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{\circ}$
7				Morphological Adaptations (Provide
8.				supporting data in Remarks of on a
	60	= Total Co	ver	Broblamatic Hydrophytic Vagatation ¹
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)				(Explain)
1				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
2				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
2.		= Total Co	ver	Hydrophytic
		1000100	ver	Vegetation
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 15	% Cover	of Biotic Cru	et	Present? Yes No X
/ Date Ground in Hero Stratum 15			.ot	
Remarks:				

SOIL							Sampli	ng Point: <u>NWI-4</u>	
Profile De	escription: (Describe to	o the dep	th needed to docum	ent the ind	dicator o	or confirm	the absence of	of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Redox	Features					
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
0 - 2	10YR 4/4	65					L		
2 - 10	10YR 4/4	65					L		
$10 - 20^+$	10YR 4/6	65					L		
$\frac{1}{1}$ Tumo: C=C	Concentration D-Donlatic	DM-D	duced Metrix CS-Cox	and on Cor	tad Sand	C_{raing}^{2}	antion: DI -Do	ro Lining M-Motrix	
Type: C=C	il Indiantors: (Applied	n, KM=Ke	LIPPs, uplage other	verea or Coa	$\frac{1}{d}$	Grains. L	ocation: PL=Pol	etio Hydria Soilo ³	
Histor	ol (A1)	able to al	I LKKS, unless other	d Motriy (a.) S4)	1 am	S IOF Problem		
Histos	Enjinedon $(\Lambda 2)$		Sandy Redox	x (S5)	54)		VIUCK (A9) (LI Drairie Dedox (A	IN I, J)	
	Histic (A3)		Saluy Redox (S5)			Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)			
Hydro	$\operatorname{gen} \operatorname{Sulfide} (\Lambda A)$		L oamy Mucky Mineral (E1)			High plains Depressions (E16)			
Ityui0	ied Lavers (A5) (I RR	F)	Loamy Glev	Loamy Gleved Matrix (F2)			(I DD H outside of MI DA 72 & 73)		
5u aun	Auck (A9) (I RR F G	н) Н)	Depleted Ma	triv (F3)	(12)	Reduc	ed Vertic (F18	(1)	
Denlet	ed Below Dark Surface	$(\Delta 11)$	Depicted With Redox Dark	Surface (F	6)	Red P	arent Material	(TF2)	
Depict	Dark Surface (A12)	. (111)	Depleted Da	rk Surface	(F7)	Other	(Explain in Re	(112) marks)	
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Depre	essions (F8		³ Indicator	s of hydrophyt	ic vegetation and	
2.5 cm	Mucky Peat or Peat (S	2)	High Plains	Denressior	<i>')</i> 15	wetland h	vdrology must	be present unless	
(LRR)	G. H)	2)	(MLRA 72 &	k 73 of LR	$(\mathbf{R} \mathbf{H})$	disturbed	or problematic		
5 cm N	Aucky Peat or Peat (S3)) (LRR F)						
Restrictiv	e Laver (if present):	()						
Type:	e Euger (il present).					Hydric So	oil Present?	Yes No X	
Depth (inc	hes):							100 110	
Remarks:)-				I				
HYDROL	OGY								
Wetland I	Hydrology Indicators:								

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:								
Primary Indicators (minimum of one re-	Secondary Indicators (2 or more							
		required)						
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)						
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)						
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)						
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living						
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Roots (C3) (where tilled)						
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)						
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)						
Iron deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow	Geomorphic Position (D2)						
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)						
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) (LRR F)						
Field Observations:								
Surface Water Present? Yes	No <u>X</u> Depth (inches):							
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present?						
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Yes <u>No X</u>						
(includes capillary fringe)								
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:								
Remarks: No saturation observed to 30	inches.							

Project/Site: Spring Creek Mine	City/County: <u>Big H</u>	orn	Sampling Date:	8/5/09
Applicant/Owner: <u>Cloud Peak Energy</u>	State:	<u>Montana</u> Sam	pling Point: <u>NV</u>	VI-5/36-1
Investigator(s): <u>Jim Orpet – Intermountain Resources</u>	Section, Townsh	ip, Range: <u>NW</u>	NE¼ Sec. 6, T9S, R	40E
Landform (hillslope, etc.): <u>Stockpond</u>	Local relief (concave,	convex, none): Co	oncave Slope (%)	: 1
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR G – Western Great Plains</u>	Lat: <u>4993365</u>	Long: <u>0352213</u>	Datum: <u>NAD 27</u>	
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>NA - Inclusion</u>		NWI cla	assification: <u>PEM1A</u>	h
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical	For this time of year?	Yes <u>X</u> No	(If no, explain in I	Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology signifi	cantly disturbed? Are	"Normal Circumsta	ances" present? Yes	X_No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology natura	lly problematic? <u>No</u> (If needed, explain a	any answers in Rema	arks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map sh	owing sampling poir	nt locations, trans	ects, important fea	atures, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?YesHydric Soil Present?YesWetland Hydrology Present?Yes	No_X Is the Samp No_X within a W	oled Area etland?	Yes 2	No <u>X</u>
Remarks: Old stockpond, dam washed out long ago so	the site no longer pon	ds water. Sample s	ite is also representa	tive of

Pearson Creek ephemeral stream channel.

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Remarks:				1	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>20</u>	% Cover of	= Total Co of Biotic Cru	ver 1st	Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Ye	es <u>No X</u>
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:) 1 2				(Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and we be present, unless disturbed or p	tland hydrology must roblematic.
7 8	50	= Total Co	ver	supporting data in I separate sheet) Problematic Hydroph	Remarks or on a hytic Vegetation ¹
5. <u>Stipa viridula</u> 6.	5	no	UPL	Dominance Test is >: Prevalence Index is =: Morphological Adam	50% ≤3.0 ¹ tations ¹ (Provide
3. <u>Agropyron smithii</u> 4. Artemisia ludoviciana	$\frac{10}{5}$	yes no	<u>FACU</u> FACU	Prevalence Index = B/A = Hydrophytic Vegetation In	dicators:
1. Bromus japonicus 2. Poa pratensis	$\frac{20}{10}$	yes yes	FACU FACU	UPL species(A)	x 5 = (B)
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>m²</u>)		1000100		FACU species	x 3 - x 4 =
3	·	= Total Co	ver	FACW species	x 2 =
4				OBL species	x 1 =
3				Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
2.				or FAC: Prevalence Index workshee	(A/B)
$\frac{\text{Sapling/Shrub Stratum}}{1} (\text{Plot size: } \underline{10 \text{ m}^2})$				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW,	
		= Total Co	ver	~p~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	<u> </u>
3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:	3 (B)
1 2.				(excluding FAC-):	(A)
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	ſ
	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	

SOIL							Sampling	Point: <u>NWI-5/36-1</u>
Profile De	escription: (Describe t	o the dept	th needed to docum	ent the indi	icator o	r confirm	the absence	e of indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redox	Features				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc^2	Texture	Remarks
$0 - 20^+$	10YR 4/2	70					CL	Sediment Deposits
						·	<u> </u>	
. <u></u>								
						·		
$\frac{1}{1}$	Samaantustian D-Danlati	D.	turned Materia CC-Con		- 1 C 1 ($\overline{C_{\text{mains}}}$	a antiana DI	Dens Lining M-Matrix
Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Depletion	on, KM=Re	duced Matrix, CS=Cov	ered or Coat	ea Sana (Grains. ⁻ L	ocation: PL=	Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric So	$a_1(A_1)$	able to all	LKKS, Unless other	d Motriy (S	.) . 	Indicator	S IOF Proble	matic Hydric Solls :
Histos	OI(AI) Enjinedon (A2)		Sandy Gieye	(S5)	4)	I CIII I	Muck (A9) (. Prairia Paday	LKK I, J
	Histic (A3)		Stripped Matrix (S6)			Dark Surface (S7) (LRR F, G, H)		
<u> </u>	gen Sulfide ($\Delta 4$)		Suipped Mat	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) High plains Depress			(LINK G) ssions (F16)	
<u> </u>	ied Lavers (A5) (LRR	E)	Loamy Gleved Matrix (F2)			(LRR H outside of MLRA 72 & 73)		
1 cm M	Auck (A9) (LRR F. G.	H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)			Reduced Vertic (F18)		
Denlet	ed Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)			Red Parent Material (TF2)		
Thick	Dark Surface (A12)	(111)	Depleted Dat	rk Surface (F7)	Other	(Explain in	Remarks)
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)		Redox Depre	essions (F8)		³ Indicator	s of hydroph	vtic vegetation and
2.5 cm	Mucky Peat or Peat (S	(2)	High Plains I	Depressions		wetland h	vdrology mu	ist be present, unless
(LRR	G, H)	-)	(MLRA 72 &	k 73 of LRI	RH)	disturbed	or problema	tic.
5 cm N	Aucky Peat or Peat (S3)) (LRR F)			/		1	
Restrictiv	e Laver (if present):	//						
Type:						Hydric So	oil Present?	Yes No X
Depth (inc	ches):		_			v		
Remarks:					•			

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:							
Primary Indicators (minimum of one require	Secondary Indicators (2 or more						
	required)						
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)					
High Water Table (A2)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)					
Saturation (A3)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)					
Water Marks (B1)	Dry-season Water Table (C2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living					
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Roots (C3) (where tilled)					
Drift Deposits (B3)	(where not tilled)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)					
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)					
Iron deposits (B5)	Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow	Geomorphic Position (D2)					
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)					
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) (LRR F)					
Field Observations:							
Surface Water Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):						
Water Table Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present?					
Saturation Present? Yes	No X Depth (inches):	Yes No X					
(includes capillary fringe)							
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mo	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspecti	ons), if available:					
Remarks: No saturation observed to 30 incl	hes.						

Project/Site: Spring Creek Mine	City/County: Big Horn	Sampling Date: <u>8/5/09</u>
Applicant/Owner: <u>Cloud Peak Energy</u>	State: Montana	Sampling Point: NWI-6
Investigator(s): <u>Jim Orpet – Intermountain Resource</u>	<u>ses</u> Section, Township, Range:	SE ¹ /4 Sec. 36, T8S, R39E
Landform (hillslope, etc.): <u>Stockpond</u>	Local relief (concave, convex, no	one): <u>Concave</u> Slope (%): <u>0</u>
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR G – Western Great Plains</u>	Lat: <u>4994139</u> Long: <u>03</u>	350881 Datum: <u>NAD 27</u>
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>NA - Inclusion</u>		NWI classification: <u>PEM1Ah</u>
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typi	ical for this time of year? Yes X	No (If no, explain in Remarks.)
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology sig	gnificantly disturbed? Are "Normal (Circumstances" present? Yes X No
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology na	aturally problematic? No (If needed,	explain any answers in Remarks.)
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site ma	p showing sampling point location	ns, transects, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X Hydric Soil Present? Yes X Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes X Remarks: Stockpond that ponds water for prolong	Image: Constraint of the system Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system Image: Constraint of the system	Yes X No 70' and 132' x 225' = 1.31 acres

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	
	<u>/0.00001</u>	<u>species:</u>	Status	That Are OBL, FACW, or FA	С
1			·	(excluding FAC-):	<u>1</u> (A)
2					
3				Total Number of Dominant	
4.				Species Across All Strata:	1 (B)
		= Total Co	ver	1	
Sanling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 m^2)		rotur eo		Percent of Dominant Species	
<u>Saping/Sinuo Suatuni</u> (1 lot size. <u>10 lii</u>)				That Are OBL, FACW,	
1				or FAC:	100 (A/B)
2				Prevalence Index workshee	et:
3				Total % Cover of	Multiply by:
4.				OBL spacies	$\frac{1}{v} 1 - $
5				EACW species	x 1 =
		= Total Co	ver	FAC w species	x 2 =
$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{r}}$ i $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{r}}$ (D1 $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{r}}$ i $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{r}}$ (D1 $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{r}}$ i $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{r}}$ (D1 $\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{r}}$)				FAC species	$x_{3} = $
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: <u>m</u>)	60		5.4	FACU species	x 4 =
1. Xanthium strumarium	60	yes	FAC	UPL species	x 5 =
2. Hordeum jubatum	10	no	FACW	Column Totals:(A)	(B)
3.				Prevalence Index = $B/A =$	
4				Hydrophytic Vegetation In	dicators:
5				X Dominance Test is $>$	50%
			·	Prevalence Index is	$<3.0^{1}$
6		·	·	Morphological Adar	tations ¹ (Provide
7				supporting data in I	Remarks or on a
8				separate sheet)	Comunes of on a
	70	= Total Co	over	Broblematic Hydron	hytic Vegetation ¹
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:				(Evplain)	nytic vegetation
1				(Explain)	tland hydrology must
1			·	be present unless disturbed or p	roblematic
2			·	Undrophytic	roblematic.
		= Total Co	over	Hydrophytic	
				Vegetation	
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum 15	% Cover of	of Biotic Cru	ust	Present? Yo	es <u>X</u> No
Remarks:				1	

SOIL							Samp	oling Point: <u>NWI-6</u>	
Profile De	scription: (Describe t	o the dept	th needed to docun	nent the ind	icator o	or confirm	the absence	e of indicators.)	
Depth	th Matrix Redox Features								
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks	
$0 - 20^+$	10YR 4/2	80	7.5YR 4/6	Common	C	PL	CL	Sediment Deposits	
		. <u></u>		<u> </u>					
¹ Type: C=C	oncentration, D=Depletic	on, RM=Re	duced Matrix, CS=Co	overed or Coa	ted Sand	Grains. ² L	ocation: PL=I	Pore Lining, M=Matrix.	
Hydric So	il Indicators: (Application	able to all	LRRs, unless othe	erwise noted	l.)	Indicator	s for Proble	matic Hydric Soils ³ :	
Histoso	ol (A1)		Sandy Gley	ed Matrix (S	54)	1 cm M	Muck (A9) (1	LRR I, J)	
Histic I	Epipedon (A2)		Sandy Redox (S5)			Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR F, G, H)			
Black I	Histic (A3)		Stripped Ma	Stripped Matrix (S6)			Dark Surface (S7) (LRR G)		
Hydrog	gen Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)			High plains Depressions (F16)			
Stratifi	ed Layers (A5) (LRR	F)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)			(LRR H outside of MLRA 72 & 73)			
1 cm M	fuck (A9) (LRR F, G,	H)	Depleted Matrix (F3)			Reduced Vertic (F18)			
Deplete	ed Below Dark Surface	e (A11)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)			Red Parent Material (TF2)			
Thick I	Dark Surface (A12)		Depleted Dark Surface (F7)			Other (Explain in Remarks)			
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)		X Redox Depressions (F8)			3 Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and			
2.5 cm	Mucky Peat or Peat (S	2)	High Plains Depressions			wetland hydrology must be present, unless			
	G. H)	-)	(MLRA 72	& 73 of LR	R H)	disturbed	or problema	tic.	
5 cm M	fucky Peat or Peat (S3)	(LRR F)			,		1		
Restrictive	e Laver (if present):								
Type:						Hydric So	oil Present?	Yes X No	
Depth (incl	hes):					J			
Remarks:	Mottles		_						
HYDROLO	DGY								
Wetland H	Iydrology Indicators:								

Primary Indicators (minimum	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)					
X Surface Water (A1)	X Surface Water (A1) Salt Crust (B11)					
High Water Table (A2)		Aquatic Invertet	orates (B13)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		
Saturation (A3)		Hydrogen Sulfid	e Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
X Water Marks (B1)		Dry-season Wat	er Table (C2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living		
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Oxidized Rhizos	pheres along Living Roots (C3)	Roots (C3) (where tilled)		
X Drift Deposits (B3)		(where not till	ed)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Presence of Red	uced Iron (C4)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Iron deposits (B5)		Thin Muck Surfa	ace (C7) Shallow	Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imag	gery (B7)	Other (Explain i	n Remarks)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)				Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) (LRR F)		
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No <u>X</u>	Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present?	Yes	No <u>X</u>	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present?		
Saturation Present?	Yes	No <u>X</u>	Depth (inches):	Yes X No		
(includes capillary fringe)						
Describe Recorded Data (strea	al photos, previous inspecti	ons), if available:				
Remarks: Seasonally inundat						

Project/Site: Spring Creek Mine	City/Co	ounty: <u>Big Horn</u>	Sampling Date:	7/16/09	
Applicant/Owner: <u>Cloud Peak Energy</u>		State: Montana	Sampling Point: NW	VI-7	
Investigator(s): Jim Orpet – Intermountain Res	ources Sect	tion, Township, Range: _	NE ¹ /4 Sec. 14, T8S, R39	ЭЕ	
Landform (hillslope, etc.): <u>Stockpond</u>	Local rel	ief (concave, convex, no	one): <u>Concave</u> Slope (%)	: 0	
Subregion (LRR): <u>LRR G – Western Great Plains</u> Lat: <u>4999585</u> Long: <u>0349550</u> Datum: <u>NAD 27</u>					
Soil Map Unit Name: <u>NA - Inclusion</u>			NWI classification: <u>PEM1A</u>	h	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No (If no, explain in Remarks.)					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X_No					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally problematic? No (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)					
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?YeHydric Soil Present?YeWetland Hydrology Present?YeRemarks:Stockpond that ponds water for pro	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? time. Marginal wetland	Yes_X $\frac{1}{45' \times 140' = 0.15 \text{ acres}}$	No	

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species	_
1.				That Are OBL, FACW, or FA	C
2.				(excluding FAC-):	<u> </u>
3.				Total Number of Dominant	
4				Species Across All Strata	1 (B)
		= Total Co	ver	Species i lei cos i ili sudui.	(D)
Sanling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 10 m^2)		1000100	ver	Percent of Dominant Species	
1				That Are OBL, FACW,	
1				or FAC:	<u>100</u> (A/B)
2		. <u></u> .		Prevalence Index workshee	et:
3		<u> </u>		Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
4				OBL species	x 1 =
5				FACW species	x 2 =
2		= Total Co	ver	FAC species	x 3 =
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Plot size: m^2)				FACU species	x 4 =
1. <u>Hordeum jubatum</u>	75	yes	FACW	UPL species	x 5 =
2. Bromus japonicus	5	no	FACU	Column Totals:(A)	(B)
3. <u>Agropyron intermedium</u>	5	no	UPL	Prevalence Index = $B/A =$	
4.				Hydrophytic Vegetation In	dicators:
5.				\underline{X} Dominance Test is >	50%
6.				Prevalence Index is	≤3.0 ¹
7.				Morphological Adap	tations ¹ (Provide
8				supporting data in I	Remarks or on a
···	85	= Total Co	ver	separate sheet)	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:		1000100	ver	Problematic Hydroph	hytic Vegetation
1				(Explain)	tland hydrology myst
2				be present unless disturbed or p	roblematic
2		- Total Ca		Hydronhytic	
		-10tal Co	vei	Vegetation	
				Procent? V	es V No
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>5</u>	% Cover of	of Biotic Cru	ist		
Kemarks [.]					

SOIL							Samp	ling Point: <u>NWI-7</u>
Profile De	scription: (Describe to	o the dept	h needed to docun	nent the ind	icator o	or confirm	the absence	e of indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redox	Features				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture	Remarks
0 - 22	10YR 5/4	75	7.5YR 5/8	Common	C	PL	L	Sediment Deposits
$22 - 26^+$	10YR 4/4	70	7.5YR 5/8	Common	C	PL	SL	Sediment Deposits
					1.0.1	<u> </u>		
Type: C=C	incentration, D=Depletio	n, RM=Re	duced Matrix, CS=Co	vered or Coat	ed Sand	Grains. ² L	ocation: PL=I	Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric So	al (A1)	able to all	LKKS, UNIESS OLITE	rwise noted	.)	Indicator	S IOF Proble	mauc Hydric Solls :
Histose	OI (AI) Eninadan (A2)		Sandy Gley	(S_{2})	4)	$\underline{\qquad} 1 \text{ cm Muck (A9) (LRR I, J)}$		
	Epipedoli (A2)		Sandy Redox (S5)			Coast Praine Redox (A10) (LRK F, G, H)		
	$\operatorname{Fisue}(AS)$			ulix (SO)	(E1)	Dark a	Surface(S7)	(LKK G)
Hydrog	Hydrogen Sunde (A4) Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1		(FI) E2)	(I DD H outside of MI DA 72 & 73)				
Straum	ed Layers (A5) (LKK)	r) TT)	Loamy Gley	ed Matrix (I	r <i>2)</i>		H outside ($\frac{1}{10}$
$\underline{}$ 1 cm N	$\frac{1000}{1000} (A9) (LKKF, G, G)$	$\mathbf{\Pi}$	Depleted M	alfix (F3)	2	Keduc	ed vertic (F	1δ) -1 (TE2)
Deplet	ed Below Dark Surface	(AII)	Redox Dark	Surface (F6) (F7)	Ked P	(French Materia	al(1F2)
	Dark Surface (A12)		Depleted Da	irk Surface (F/)	$\frac{1}{3T}$ Other	(Explain in I	kemarks)
Sandy	Mucky Mineral (S1)	•	<u>X</u> Redox Dep	ressions (F8)	Indicator	s of hydroph	ytic vegetation and
2.5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S2)		High Plains Depressions		5	wetland hydrology must be present, unless			
(LRR)	G, H)		(MLRA 72)	& 73 of LRI	R H)	disturbed	or problemat	tic.
<u>5 cm N</u>	Aucky Peat or Peat (S3)	(LRR F)						
Restrictiv	e Layer (if present):							
Type:	1 \					Hydric So	oil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No
Depth (inc	hes):		_					
Remarks:	Mottles							
HYDROL	OGY							
Wetland I	Hydrology Indicators:							
Primary In	dicators (minimum of o	one requir	ed; check all that ap	ply)		Sec	condary Indi	cators (2 or more

Finally indicators (infinition of one required, check an unat apply)				
		required)		
Salt Crust (B11)		X Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Aquatic Invertet	orates (B13)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		
Hydrogen Sulfid	le Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
Dry-season Wat	er Table (C2)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living		
Sediment Deposits (B2)Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)		Roots (C3) (where tilled)		
<u>X</u> Drift Deposits (B3) (where not tilled)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Crust (B4) Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
_ Iron deposits (B5) Thin Muck Surface (C7) Shallow		Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)Other (Explain in		FAC-Neutral Test (D5)		
		Frost-Heave Hummocks (D7) (LRR F)		
No X	Depth (inches):			
No X	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present?		
No X Depth (inches):		Yes X No		
	• • • • <u> </u>			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:				
C /				
ted.				
	Salt Crust (B11) Aquatic Invertet Hydrogen Sulfic Dry-season Wat Oxidized Rhizos (where not till Presence of Red Thin Muck Surf Other (Explain i No No onitoring well, aeri ted.	Salt Crust (B11)Salt Crust (B11)Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)Dry-season Water Table (C2)Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3) (where not tilled)Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)Thin Muck Surface (C7) ShallowOther (Explain in Remarks)No <u>X</u> Depth (inches):No <u>X</u> Depth (inches):No <u>X</u> Depth (inches):No <u>X</u> Depth (inches):NoNo Depth (inches):NoNepth (inches):NoNepth (inches):NoNepth (inches):NoNepth (inches):NoNepth (inches):NoNepth (inches):NoNepth (inches):NoNepth (inches):Nepth (inches):NoNepth (inches):NoNepth (inches):Nepth (inches):		

Pre-1980 Pordz DATA FORM 1 WETLAND DETERMINATION Pre-Dated Mine Premine stock pouch Application Project Applicant Sprach. Co. 10. Number: Name: State: MT County: 816 HORN Legal Description: Township: 85 Range: 40E Section: Conter Eth, SW 14, Sac. 30 Plot No.: Dace: 6/5/95 T85, R40E Vegetation [list the three dominant species in each vegetation layer (5 if only 1 or 2 layers)]. Indicate species with observed morphological or known physiological adaptations with an asterisk. Indicator Indicator Species Scatus Scatus Species Herbs 7. Scirpus vallidus 8. Typlan angustifelize } OBL Trees 1. 2. 3. Woody vines Saplings/shrubs 10. 4. 11. 5. 12. 6. I of species that are OBL, FACW, and/or FAC: 100. Other indicators:_____. Hydrophytic vegetation: Yes X. No ____. Basis:_____ Fill & Sediments Soil Series and phase: ______ On hydric soils list? Yes____; No____. Mottled: Yes___; No___. Mottle color:____; Matrix color:____. Gleyed: Yes_____ No____ Other indicators:_____ Hydric soils: Yes____ No ___; Basis:_____ Hydrology Inundated: Yes X; No ____. Depth of standing water: $0-3^{\prime}$ Saturated soils: Yes___; No____. Depth to saturated soil:____ Other indicators: Werland hydrology: Yes X ; No ___. Basis:___ Normal Circumstances? Yes 🥍 No____ Wetland Decermination: Wetland 🏼 🎾 ; Nonwetland areal Extent 1000 ft 55. Comments: Decormined by: <u>RAPropero</u> B2 Neyron Flaylon 0,02 acres without 0.50 acres open water (estimated) "Isolated, removed by mining

APPENDIX B

Spring Creek Mine

2009 Photographs



Photo 1. Spring Creek Mine Site #1 and Ephemeral Stream 15-1 (Spring Creek) in 2009.



Photo 2. Spring Creek Mine Site #2 in 2009.



Photo 3. Spring Creek Mine Site NWI -1 and Ephemeral Stream 13-3 in 2009.







Photo 6. Spring Creek Mine Site NWI-4 in 2009.



Photo 7. Spring Creek Mine Site NWI-5 and Ephemeral Stream 36-1 (Pearson Creek) in 2009.



Photo 8. Spring Creek Mine Site NWI-6 in 2009.







<u>LEGEND</u>

- Ephemeral Stream
- NWI & Sample Sites

1-1

- Spring Creek Mine Permit
- Pearson Creek Amendment Area
 - Disturbance Boundary as of 2009
- Disturbance Prior to 1993

Note: Other Waters of the U.S. were surveyed for the entire area.

Spring Creek Coal LLC Spring Creek Mine P.O. BOX 67 Decker, Montana 59025 Ph. (406) 757-2581

M	A	Ρ	-

DATE REVISIONS	SPRING CREEK COAL LLC SPRING CREEK MINE
	2009 Wetland and Other Waters of the U.S. Inventory
	DESIGN: JDL & RET(IR) DRAWN: JDL & RET(IR) DATE: 1/2010
	SCALE: <u>1" = 1320'</u> FILE NUMBER: